

REPORT:

2020-2021 Report on Free Speech and Free Expression Within the University

September 15, 2021

University of North Carolina System Chapel Hill, North Carolina

UNC Board of Governors

Committee on University Governance, acting as the designated Board Committee on Free Expression 2020-2021 Report on Free Speech and Free Expression Within the University

I. Executive Summary

This report addresses free speech and free expression at the constituent institutions¹ of the University of North Carolina System ("UNC System" or "the University") for the period of time between July 1, 2020, and June 30, 2021, as required by the Restore/Preserve Campus Free Speech Act ("the Act").² In preparing and publishing this report, the UNC System Board of Governors Committee on University Governance ("committee"), as the designated Committee on Free Expression,³ was guided primarily by the elements required by the Act.⁴ Additionally, the committee relied on information provided by the constituent institutions, information shared with the president and/or members of the Board of Governors, and on relevant articles and media stories published in the past year.

The committee's intent in issuing this annual free expression report is to address the specific categories of information identified in the Act, assess institutional compliance with <u>Section 1300.8</u> of the UNC Policy Manual, survey the expressive events that took place at the UNC System constituent institutions during the relevant period, review progress since last year's report, and provide recommendations for the upcoming academic year.

With the requirements of the Act in mind, this report provides background on and context for free speech and free expression at UNC System constituent institutions, highlights experiences at our institutions over the past year, identifies some key findings by the committee, and offers recommendations that are aimed at providing more awareness and transparency on issues related to free speech and free expression.

Specifically, as will be further detailed in the report, the committee found that:

¹ Because of the additional protections afforded to K-12 institutions under the First Amendment, the North Carolina School for Science and Math, the University of North Carolina School of the Arts for its high school students, and any lab schools operated by a constituent institution are not included within the scope of the report. Even so, these institutions are expected to comply with Article 36 of Chapter 116 to the extent there is not a conflict with relevant First Amendment jurisprudence applicable to K-12 institutions.

² The Restore/Preserve Campus Free Speech Act was enacted in 2017 as <u>S.L. 2017-196</u> and is codified in the North Carolina General Statutes as Article 36 of Chapter 116.

³ The Act requires the Board of Governors to establish a Committee on Free Expression. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 116-301 (hereinafter G.S.). Section 10.3 of <u>S.L. 2018-5</u> ("Current Operations Appropriations Act of 2018") amended G.S. 116-301 to allow the chair of the Board of Governors to designate a standing or special committee of the Board as the Committee on Free Expression.

⁴ <u>G.S. 116-301(c)</u> articulates specific information to be provided in the annual report. *See* Section IV., herein, for more information.

- The constituent institutions remain committed to promoting and protecting free speech and free expression;
- 2. Disruptions and interference at scheduled expressive events have been minimal, especially because most events reported this year have occurred virtually;
- Constituent institutions have developed and utilized mechanisms for receiving, investigating, and resolving complaints regarding alleged free expression policy violations;
- 4. The constituent institutions are regularly providing information to campus constituencies about rights and responsibilities associated with expression on campus through policies, training, and other outreach;
- 5. The Foundation for Individual Rights in Education (FIRE), which rates college and university speech policies, has awarded its highest rating ("green light") to 11 UNC System constituent institutions.⁵ FIRE's "green light" rating is held by only 56 institutions nationwide. The University of North Carolina School of the Arts has worked throughout the year to raise its rating from FIRE and may soon achieve that goal. Similarly, the University of North Carolina at Asheville is working with FIRE to achieve the same goal;
- 6. No reporting constituent institutions have incurred expected and unexpected financial costs related to security surrounding speakers or expressive events on campus; and
- 7. Constituent institutions continue to accept the recommendations for improvement contained in last year's report by taking actions, such as thematic branding of free and open discourse; working to update and improve policies and ensuring that all student groups needing faculty or staff support can have it; and creating and maintaining "one stop" destination websites for fostering free expression on campus.

The committee acknowledges that the UNC System's constituent institutions have a long record of hosting events without significant disruption or interference, and that many successful events tend not to garner significant publicity or public attention. Even with the COVID-19 pandemic, this past year was no exception.

⁵ See Foundation for Individual Rights in Education's Speech Code Rating Database, https://www.thefire.org/resources/spotlight/?x=&speech_code=Green&y=NC&institution_type=Public&speech_code_advanced=Green&y_advanced=NC#search-results. UNC constituent institutions that have been awarded a "green light" rating are Appalachian State University, East Carolina University, Fayetteville State University, North Carolina Central University, NC State University, UNC-Chapel Hill, UNC Charlotte, UNC Greensboro, UNC Pembroke, UNC Wilmington, and Western Carolina University.

In addition to work happening on each individual campus, the University's collection of Responsible Officers, which are designated to ensure compliance with Section 1300.8 of the UNC Policy Manual, have also engaged cooperatively to create and improve resources regarding free expression within the University system. The UNC System Office continues to maintain and update a webpage dedicated to providing information and resources related to free speech and free expression within the University. Finally, new initiatives are underway Systemwide. The committee is pleased to inform the General Assembly, the Governor, and the public about certain Board- and System Office-level efforts underway to foster and facilitate free and open debate across the UNC System in accordance with the law.

II. Background

A. University Commitment to Free Speech and Free Expression

As the nation's first public university, the University of North Carolina System affirms its long-standing commitment to free speech and free expression for its students, faculty members, staff employees, and visitors under the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and Article 1, Section 14 of the North Carolina Constitution. The University and its constituent institutions protect and promote these freedoms, consistent with First Amendment jurisprudence. Through its policies, the University has expressly established that no employment decision or academic decision shall be based on the exercise of these constitutional rights.

The University's mission includes the transmission and advancement of knowledge and understanding, the pursuit of which is dependent upon the ability of our faculty and students to remain free to inquire, to study and to evaluate, to gain new maturity and understanding. The University supports and encourages freedom of inquiry for faculty members and students, to the end that they may responsibly pursue these goals through teaching, learning, research, discussion, and publication, free from internal or external restraints that would unreasonably restrict their academic endeavors. The University has explicitly stated that faculty and students of the University share the responsibility for maintaining an environment in which academic freedom flourishes and in which the rights of each

⁶ See UNC System Office "Campus Speech and Free Expression" website https://www.northcarolina.edu/campus-free-speech.

⁷ See, e.g., Sections 601, 604, and 608 of *The Code of the University of North Carolina ("The Code")*. See also Sections 101.3.1, 300.1.1., 300.2.1, 700.4.2, and 1300.8 of the UNC Policy Manual.

⁸ See Sections 601, 604, and 608 of *The Code*. See also Sections 101.3.1, 300.1.1, 300.2.1, 700.4.2 of the UNC Policy Manual.

⁹ See Sweezy v. New Hampshire, 354 U.S. 234, 250 (1957).

¹⁰ Section 600(1) of *The Code. See also* Section 700.4.2 of the UNC Policy Manual.

member of the academic community are respected.¹¹ Academic freedom has indeed been acknowledged by the Supreme Court as "of transcendent value to all of us" and "a special concern of the First Amendment, which does not tolerate laws that cast a pall of orthodoxy over the classroom." ¹²

B. Restore/Preserve Campus Free Speech Act

Through statute, the North Carolina General Assembly has affirmed that the primary function of the University of North Carolina System and each of its constituent institutions is the discovery, improvement, transmission, and dissemination of knowledge by means of research, teaching, discussion, and debate. To fulfill this function, each constituent institution must strive to ensure the fullest degree of intellectual freedom and free expression. According to G.S. 116-300(2), "it is not the proper role of any constituent institution to shield individuals from speech protected by the First Amendment, including, without limitation, ideas and opinions they find unwelcome, disagreeable, or even deeply offensive.¹³"

The General Assembly has also established several requirements for the Board of Governors, the University of North Carolina System, and its constituent institutions regarding free expression. In response, the Board of Governors has designated a Committee on Free Expression¹⁴ and adopted a University-wide free expression policy which, among other elements, maintains institutional neutrality. A copy of the policy is linked here. Additionally, the University meets its statutory obligations by providing training for institutional officers and administrators charged with responsibilities for compliance with the Act and coordinating campus-based training ("Responsible Officers") and publishing this annual report. A list of current Responsible Officers is available at this link.

III. <u>Discussion of Free Speech and Free Expression at the University During the 2020-21 Academic Year and Committee Findings</u>

¹¹ Section 600(3) of *The Code. See also* Section 700.4.2 of the UNC Policy Manual.

¹² Keyishian v. Board of Regents, State Univ. of N.Y., 385 U.S. 589, 603 (1967).

¹³ G.S. 116-300(2).

¹⁴ Section 10.3 of <u>S.L. 2018-5</u> (Current Operations Appropriations Act of 2018), which became law on June 12, 2018, amended the requirements for the committee to allow the chair of the Board of Governors to designate a standing or special committee of the Board as the Committee on Free Expression. *See* https://www.ncleg.net/Sessions/2017/Bills/Senate/PDF/S99v6.pdf. The chair of the Board of Governors has designated the Committee on University Governance as the statutorily mandated Committee on Free Expression. ¹⁵ In this context, "institutional neutrality" specifically means only that "the constituent institution may not take action, as an institution, on the public policy controversies of the day in such a way as to require students, faculty, or administrators to publicly express a given view of social policy." <u>G.S. 116-300(3)</u>.

Pursuant to the Act, the University's policy, and Board's interest in a broad review of free expression across the University, the committee received information from responding constituent institutions in 9 areas. The questions and summaries of the institutional responses are provided below.

QUESTIONS SENT TO THE	SUMMARY OF INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES
CONSTITUENT INSTITUTIONS	
(1) A description of any barriers to or	No constituent institution reported an institutional
disruptions of free expression	barrier or disruption of free expression during the
within the constituent institution,	academic year.
including specific incidents	Institutional responses referenced the disruption to
and/or particularized	campus operations created by the COVID-19 pandemic.
complaints. ¹⁶	Despite these challenges and fewer on-campus events,
	institutions developed methods to continue free
	expression opportunities.
	One institution reported an October 2020 incident where
	a threat was communicated to the campus that resulted
	in a 12-hour "shelter in place" order.
(2) A description of the	Apart from the institution reporting the October 2020
administrative handling and	incident, no responding institutions had administrative
discipline relating to disruption or	action to report.
barriers identified in response to	
(1).17	

¹⁶ <u>G.S. 116-301(c)(1)</u> and Section 1300.8, VIII.C.1 of the UNC Policy Manual.

 $^{^{17}}$ G.S. 116-301(c)(2) and Section 1300.8, VIII.C.2 of the UNC Policy Manual.

	QUESTIONS SENT TO THE		SUMMARY OF INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES
	CONSTITUENT INSTITUTIONS		
(3)	Identification and description of	•	The responding institutions reported consistently that the
	any difficulties, controversies,		work of free expression went on notwithstanding the
	and successes in maintaining a		continued shift to virtual events. East Carolina University
	posture of administrative and		presses ahead with its #ECUnited initiative and other
	institutional neutrality about		programmed conversations among campus stakeholders.
	political or social issues. 18	•	The responding institutions consistently reported steady
			and regular efforts to foster a culture of free expression.
			Things like employee training at Winston-Salem State
			University and the University of North Carolina
			Wilmington's Freedom of Expression Work Group appear
			as good examples of an institutional commitment to the
			goal of fostering free expression.
(4)	Any assessments, criticisms,	•	None reported.
	commendations, or		
	recommendation the constituent		
	institution would like the		
	committee to consider in		
	preparing the annual report. 19		
(5)	Confirmation of whether the	•	All responding institutions indicated that they had
	institution fulfilled the University		disseminated information as required by policy.
	policy requirements to		
	disseminate information about		
	institutional policies during the		
	2020-21 academic year.		

 $^{^{18}}$ G.S. 116-301(c)(3) and Section 1300.8, III and VIII.C.3 of the UNC Policy Manual. 19 G.S. 116-301(c)(4) and Section 1300.8, VIII.C.4 of the UNC Policy Manual.

	QUESTIONS SENT TO THE		SUMMARY OF INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES
	CONSTITUENT INSTITUTIONS		
(6)	Identification of representative	•	Many institutions reported amending or adopting
	institutional policies that		policies, including facilities use policies, student codes of
	reinforce commitment to free		conduct, and student organization policies or referenced
	speech and free expression (e.g.,		back to having previously undertaken those efforts in
	academic freedom, tenure		prior years.
	regulations, facilities use, etc.).	•	UNCSA and UNC Asheville report efforts underway to
			work closely with FIRE to improve those institutions'
			respective ratings.
(7)	Examples of speakers or other	•	Institutions provided representative samplings of events
	events that have been held at the		and all institutions reported multiple speakers or free
	institution during the 2020-21		expression events during the academic year.
	academic year.	•	Several institutions reported instances of speakers
			engaging in free expression on campus without invitation
			or registration.
(8)	Identification of communications,	•	All institutions identified that free expression
	trainings, or other educational		communications, trainings, or outreach that had taken
	outreach regarding free speech		place during the academic year.
	and free expression that have		
	been provided during the 2020-		
	21 academic year.		
(9)	Information about security and	•	Institutions report either no or minimal additional
	other costs associated with		security costs associated with expressive events.
	protecting and affirming free		
	expression on campus.		

As a result of the information gathered, it appears again this year that (1) the constituent institutions remain committed to promoting and protecting free speech and free expression; (2) disruptions and interference at scheduled speaking or expressive events have been minimal over the past year; (3) the constituent institutions continue to work to provide information to various campus constituencies about rights and responsibilities associated with speech and expression on campus

through policies, training, and other outreach; (4) the Foundation for Individual Rights in Education (FIRE), which rates college and university speech policies, has awarded its highest rating ("green light") to 11 UNC System constituent institutions and two institutions are continuing to work to achieve that highest rating; and (5) no constituent institutions have reported incurring meaningful additional costs related to security surrounding speakers or expressive events on campus, likely due to the use of virtual platforms.

IV. Implementation of Past Report Recommendations

Constituent institutions reported a variety of processes and resources that have been introduced or improved to implement recommendations from the committee's 2020-21 free expression annual report.

V. New Initiatives & Committee Recommendations for 2020-21

The committee recognizes that there are always opportunities for improving the University's commitment to free speech and free expression. Specifically, the System Office is proudly moving forward under President Peter Hans' leadership with the expansion of an earlier study of free expression by a trio University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill professors entitled "Free Expression and Constructive Dialogue at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill." The researchers surveyed students at UNC-Chapel Hill about the state of free expression on-campus. Last month, President Hans invited the chancellors at seven additional campuses to join with him and the System Office in extending the UNC-Chapel Hill study to other places during academic year 2021-22. An example of President Hans' letter inviting a campus to join is linked here. In President Hans' own words, "[i]n the absence of good information, conversations about free expression get sidetracked by anecdotes, social media outrages, and the well-worn talking points of political partisans — what the education writer Amanda Ripley calls "conflict entrepreneurs." The committee is pleased that President Hans' efforts pursue the type of "good information" needed to ensure healthy discourse.

The committee itself has redoubled its efforts to foster free expression and proudly hosted two events discussing the climate of discourse on UNC System campuses at two of its regular meetings. At its November 18, 2020 meeting, the committee began to receive a series of presentations surrounding free speech and free expression at UNC System constituent institutions. The inaugural event involved a presentation from the UNC-Chapel Hill professors who directed the study referenced in the preceding paragraph. It was this presentation that provided an inspiration for the large-scale survey being undertaken by President Hans and the researchers at UNC-Chapel Hill during academic year 2021-22.

At its February 17, 2021 meeting, the committee offered its second installment of presentations surrounding free speech and free expression at UNC System constituent institutions. At that meeting, the committee participated in a discussion with two student representations of on-campus political organizations and Chancellor Dixon of Elizabeth City State University and Chancellor Sartarelli of the University of North Carolina Wilmington. That discussion provided opportunities for direct, "on the ground" feedback to the committee on the state of discourse in the UNC System. The committee hopes to schedule even more presentations regarding free expression because these sorts of discussions and presentations foster the kind of healthy discourse that the law requires.

This annual report provides a welcome opportunity to consider options that will demonstrate our System-wide leadership and action in support of free speech and free expression. The committee therefore offers these recommendations for consideration for implementation by the UNC System Office:

- 1. Continue to foster opportunities for free speech and free expression among campus communities that are geographically disconnected due to social distancing guidelines.
- 2. Continue to adapt traditional free expression expectations within increased virtual instruction and online interaction.
- 3. Continue to provide training to constituent institution administrators who have transitioned into the Responsible Officer title.
- 4. Continue to foster a culture of conversation among all stakeholders about the importance of free expression. High repetition of low intensity reminders appears to be underway among responding institutions, e.g., #ECUnited. That frequency pays dividends in the way that all campus stakeholders consider the importance of free expression in their daily lives.

V. Conclusion

The committee continues to support the UNC System Office's and the constituent institutions' work and efforts in promoting and protecting free speech and free expression, increasing awareness and understanding of the broad protections for speech and expressive activities on campus, and taking action, when needed, to prevent substantial disruption or interference in scheduled events.

Our constituent institutions offer a range of speakers, topics, and outreach, and we recognize the efforts of our faculty, administrators, and students to invite different, and even unpopular, views and opinions on important issues.

This report is the latest in a series of reports required by law, and its contents reinforce that the transparency and accountability required by the originating legislation are having their desired effect.

While the content of this report remains consistent generally year over year, the report serves as an annual "well visit" for the state of discourse at the University. The reporting and survey responses from campuses — even amidst the operational challenges of COVID-19 — document that the importance of free expression is at the fore of our responding campuses' minds and creating neutral forums in which diverse thought is fostered has become a habit at our campuses and within the committee itself.

Accepted by the UNC System Board of Governors Committee on University Governance, the designated Board Committee on Free Expression on September 15, 2021.