

REPORT:

2022-2023 Report on Free Speech and Free Expression Within the University

September 13, 2023

University of North Carolina System Office Raleigh, North Carolina

University of North Carolina Board of Governors

Committee on University Governance, acting as the designated Board Committee on Free Expression 2022-2023 Report on Free Speech and Free Expression Within the University

I. Executive Summary

This report addresses free speech and free expression at the constituent institutions¹ of the University of North Carolina System ("UNC System" or "the University") for the period of time between July 1, 2022, and June 30, 2023, as required by the Restore/Preserve Campus Free Speech Act ("the Act").² In preparing and publishing this report, the UNC Board of Governors Committee on University Governance ("committee"), as the designated Committee on Free Expression, was guided primarily by the elements required by the Act.⁴ Additionally, the committee relied on information provided by the constituent institutions, information shared with the president and/or members of the Board of Governors, and on relevant articles and media stories published in the past year.

With the requirements of the Act in mind, this report provides background on and context for free speech and free expression at UNC System constituent institutions, highlights experiences at our institutions over the past year, identifies some key findings by the committee, and offers recommendations that are aimed at providing more awareness and transparency on issues related to free speech and free expression. The report is effectively a "well visit" that requires campuses and the UNC System to review annually free expression related activities on our campuses. We take stock, consider lessons learned, and signal direction for the future of fostering free expression.

Specifically, as will be further detailed in this report, the committee found that:

¹ Because of the additional protections afforded to K-12 institutions under the First Amendment, the North Carolina School for Science and Math, the University of North Carolina School of the Arts for its high school students, and any lab schools operated by a constituent institution are not included within the scope of the report. Even so, these institutions are expected to comply with Article 36 of Chapter 116 to the extent there is not a conflict with relevant First Amendment jurisprudence applicable to K-12 institutions.

² The Restore/Preserve Campus Free Speech Act was enacted in 2017 as <u>S.L. 2017-196</u> and is codified in the North Carolina General Statutes as Article 36 of Chapter 116.

³ The Act requires the Board of Governors to establish a Committee on Free Expression. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 116-301 (hereinafter G.S.). Section 10.3 of <u>S.L. 2018-5</u> ("Current Operations Appropriations Act of 2018") amended G.S. 116-301 to allow the chair of the Board of Governors to designate a standing or special committee of the Board as the Committee on Free Expression.

⁴ <u>G.S. 116-301(c)</u> articulates specific information to be provided in the annual report. *See* Section IV., herein, for more information.

- The constituent institutions remain committed to promoting and protecting free speech and free expression;
- 2. Disruptions and interference at scheduled expressive events have been minimal;
- Constituent institutions have developed and utilized mechanisms for receiving, investigating, and resolving complaints regarding alleged free expression policy violations;
- The constituent institutions are regularly providing information to campus constituencies about rights and responsibilities associated with expression on campus through policies, training, and other outreach;
- 5. The Foundation for Individual Rights in Education (FIRE), which rates college and university speech policies, has awarded its highest rating ("green light") to 15 UNC System constituent institutions—up from the 14 UNC System constituent institutions reported last year.⁵
- No reporting constituent institutions have incurred expected or unexpected financial costs
 related to security surrounding speakers or expressive events on campus; and
- 7. Constituent institutions continue to accept the recommendations for improvement contained in last year's report by taking actions such as thematic branding of free and open discourse, working to update and improve policies and ensuring that all student groups needing faculty or staff support can have it, and creating and maintaining "one stop" destination websites for fostering free expression on campus.

The committee acknowledges that the UNC System's constituent institutions have a long record of hosting events without significant disruption or interference, and many successful events tend not to garner significant publicity or public attention. That tradition continues.

In addition to work happening on each individual campus, the University's collection of Responsible Officers, which are designated to ensure compliance with Section 1300.8 of the UNC Policy Manual, have also engaged cooperatively to create and improve resources regarding free expression within the University system. The UNC System Office continues to maintain and update a webpage dedicated to providing information and resources related to free speech and free expression within the University. Finally, new initiatives are underway systemwide. The committee is pleased to inform the

See Foundation for Individual Rights in Education's Speech Code Rating Database, https://www.thefire.org/resources/spotlight/?x=&speech_code=Green&y=NC&institution_type=Public&speech_code_advanced=Green&y_advanced=NC#search-results.

⁶ See UNC System Office "Campus Speech and Free Expression" website https://www.northcarolina.edu/campus-free-speech.

North Carolina General Assembly, the governor, and the public about certain Board- and System Officelevel efforts to foster and facilitate free and open debate across the UNC System in accordance with the law.

II. Background

A. University Commitment to Free Speech and Free Expression

As the nation's first public university, the UNC System affirms its long- standing commitment to free speech and free expression for its students, faculty members, staff employees, and visitors under the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and Article 1, Section 14 of the North Carolina Constitution. The University and its constituent institutions protect and promote these freedoms, consistent with First Amendment jurisprudence. Through its policies, the University has expressly established that no employment decision or academic decision shall be based on the exercise of these constitutional rights.

B. Restore/Preserve Campus Free Speech Act

Through statute, the General Assembly has affirmed that the primary function of the UNC System and each of its constituent institutions is the discovery, improvement, transmission, and dissemination of knowledge by means of research, teaching,

⁷ See, e.g., Sections 601, 604, and 608 of *The Code of the University of North Carolina ("The Code")*. See also Sections 101.3.1, 300.1.1., 300.2.1, 700.4.2, and 1300.8 of the UNC Policy Manual.

⁸ See Sections 601, 604, and 608 of *The Code. See also* Sections 101.3.1, 300.1.1, 300.2.1, 700.4.2 of the UNC Policy

⁹ See Sweezy v. New Hampshire, 354 U.S. 234, 250 (1957).

¹⁰ Section 600(1) of The Code. See also Section 700.4.2 of the UNC Policy Manual.

¹¹ Section 600(3) of *The Code*. See also Section 700.4.2 of the UNC Policy Manual.

¹² Keyishian v. Board of Regents, State Univ. of N.Y., 385 U.S. 589, 603 (1967).

discussion, and debate. To fulfill this function, each constituent institution must strive to ensure the fullest degree of intellectual freedom and free expression. According to G.S. 116-300(2), "it is not the proper role of any constituent institution to shield individuals from speech protected by the First Amendment, including, without limitation, ideas and opinions they find unwelcome, disagreeable, or even deeply offensive. 13"

The General Assembly has also established several requirements for the Board of Governors, the UNC System, and its constituent institutions regarding free expression. In response, the Board of Governors has designated a Committee on Free Expression 14 and adopted a University-wide free expression policy which, among other elements, maintains institutional neutrality. A copy of the policy is linked here. Additionally, the University meets its statutory obligations by providing training for institutional officers and administrators charged with responsibilities for compliance with the Act and coordinating campus-based training ("Responsible Officers") and publishing this annual report. A list of current Responsible Officers is available at this link.

III. <u>Discussion of Free Speech and Free Expression at the University During the 2022-23 Academic Year</u> and Committee Findings

Pursuant to the Act, the University's policy, and the Board's interest in a broad review of free expression across the University, the committee received information from responding constituent institutions in nine areas. The questions and summaries of the institutional responses are provided below.

¹³ G.S. 116-300(2).

QUESTIONS SENT TO THE	SUMMARY OF INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES
CONSTITUENT INSTITUTIONS	
(1) A description of any barriers to or disruptions of free expression within the constituent institution, including specific incidents and/or particularized complaints. 16	 No constituent institution reported an institutional barrier or disruption of free expression during the academic year. Notwithstanding the foregoing, UNC-Chapel Hill notes that several events held there inspired robust counter-protests, but none of the counter-protests impaired the scheduled events. The issue of a "heckler's veto" occurred twice at North Carolina A&T.
(2) A description of the administrative handling and discipline relating to disruption or barriers identified in response to (1). ¹⁷	No responding institutions had administrative action to report.
(3) Identification and description of any difficulties, controversies, and successes in maintaining a posture of administrative and institutional neutrality about political or social issues. 18	 The responding institutions reported consistently that the work of free expression went on. The responding institutions consistently reported steady and regular efforts to foster a culture of free expression. UNC-Chapel Hill noted the phenomenon where counter protestors to a particular event objected to the policy of preventing counter protestors from shouting down the scheduled speakers, i.e., exercising the "heckler's veto." FSU experienced an instance where it received extensive pressure from outside sources to discipline an employee for communicating a non-job-related opinion on the employee's personal X page (formerly Twitter) that criticized a former leader of a foreign government.

ا he X-posting became viral and FSU declined to
c is lipline or otherwise interfere with the employee's
social media speech, except to advise the employee not
to attribute his statements to the University.

G.S. 116-301(c;(1) and Section 1300.8, VIII.C.1 of the UNC Policy Manual.
 G.S. 116-301(c)(2) and Section 1300.8, VIII.C.2 of the UNC Policy Manual.
 G.S. 116-301(c)(3) and Section 1300.8, III and VIII.C.3 of the UNC Policy Manual.

QUESTIONS SENT TO THE	SUMMARY OF INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES
CONSTITUENT INSTITUTIONS	
(4) Any assessments, criticisms,	Multiple campuses noted that the Board of
commendations, or	Governors' amendment to Section 300.5.1 have
recommendation the constituent	created angst among faculty and staff for fear of
institution would like the	saying "the wrong thing in interviews"
committee to consider in	Another campus suggested additional guidance
preparing the annual report. 19	regarding the implementation of Section 300.5.1
	to supplement the guidance available <u>here</u> .
(5) Confirmation of whether the	All responding institutions indicated that they had
institution fulfilled the University	disseminated information as required by policy.
policy requirements to	
disseminate information about	
institutional policies during the	
2022-23 academic year.	
(6) Identification of representative	Many institutions reported amending or adopting
institutional policies that	policies, including facilities use policies, student codes of
reinforce commitment to free	conduct, and student organization policies or referenced
speech and free expression (e.g.,	back to having previously undertaken those efforts in
academic freedom, tenure	prior years.
regulations, facilities use, etc.).	UNC-Chapel Hill's Board of Trustees passed a
	resolution affirming the University's commitment to
	The freedom of speech on campus.

 $^{^{19}}$ G.S. 116-301(c)(4) and Section 1300.8, VIII.C.4 of the UNC Policy Manual.

QUESTIONS SENT TO THE	SUMMARY OF INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES
CONSTITUENT INSTITUTIONS	
(7) Examples of speakers or other	 Institutions provided representative samplings of events
events that have been held at the	and all institutions reported multiple speakers or free
institution during the 2022-23	expression events during the academic year.
academic year.	 UNCSA appears to lead the campuses on this front,
	reporting over 300 performances a year that embody
	free speech and expression.
	Several institutions reported instances of speakers
	engaging in free expression on campus without invitation
	or registration. • UNC-Chapel Hill offered these examples: Project
	Genocide put on an anti-abortion display, which inspired
	students to mount a counter-protest. Jeffrey Ventrella
	from the Alliance Defending Freedom spoke the at UNC
	School of Law and attracted counter-protestors. Former
	Vice President Mike Pence spoke, as invited by the
	College Republicans, and held a full-capacity event in the
	Carolina Union, while others, including the Young
	Democrats, held a protest outside the venue.
	North Carolina A&T's list of examples is particularly
	lengthy.
	East Carolina University identified 51 different events.

- (8) Identification of communications, trainings, or other educational outreach regarding free speech and free expression that have been provided during the 2022-23 academic year.
- All institutions identified free expression communications, trainings, or outreach that had taken place during the academic year. UNC-Chapel Hill provided a succinct account of its efforts on this front: The Political Science Department hosted a talk entitled "Can We Talk? Student Thoughts on Free Expression at UNC." A panel of UNC students discussed free expression on campus and their thoughts on recently completed research on this topic by UNC professors. The UNC Center for Media and Law hosted its annual First Amendment Day on September 21, 2022, to educate and inform the university community about free speech and the university's role as a marketplace of ideas. The Program for Public Discourse in the College of Arts & Sciences sponsors the Abbey Speaker Series and other events designed to promote civil discourse. Four times each year, the Abbey Speaker Series brings experts from different disciplines and fields to campus to foster productive dialogue on timely issues across a range of perspectives. The events were free and open to the public.
- (9) Information about security and other costs associated with protecting and affirming free expression on campus.
- Institutions report either no or minimal additional security costs associated with expressive events.

As a result of the information gathered, it appears again this year that (1) the constituent institutions remain committed to promoting and protecting free speech and free expression; (2) disruptions and interference at scheduled speaking or expressive events have been minimal over the past year; (3) the constituent institutions continue to work to provide information to various campus constituencies about rights and responsibilities associated with speech and expression on campus

through policies, training, and other outreach; (4) the Foundation for Individual Rights in Education (FIRE), which rates college and university speech policies, has awarded its highest rating ("green light") to 15 of 16 UNC System constituent higher education institutions—up from 14 reported last year; and (5) no constituent institutions have reported incurring meaningful additional costs related to security surrounding speakers or expressive events on campus, likely due to the use of virtual platforms.

IV. Implementation of Past Report Recommendations

Constituent institutions reported a variety of processes and resources that have been introduced or improved to implement recommendations from the committee's 2022-23 free expression annual report.

V. New Initiatives & Committee Recommendations for 2023-24

The committee recognizes that there are always opportunities for improving the University's commitment to free speech and free expression.

This annual report provides a welcome opportunity to consider options that will demonstrate our System-wide leadership and action in support of free speech and free expression. The committee therefore offers these recommendations for consideration for implementation by the UNC System Office:

- Continue to foster opportunities for free speech and free expression among campus communities that are geographically disconnected due to social distancing guidelines.
- Continue to adapt traditional free expression expectations within increased virtual instruction and online interaction.
- Continue to provide training to constituent institution administrators who have transitioned into the Responsible Officer title.
- 4. Continue to foster a culture of conversation among all stakeholders about the importance of free expression. High repetition of low intensity reminders pays dividends in the way that all campus stakeholders consider the importance of free expression in their daily lives.
- 5. Seek universal "green" status across the UNC System from FIRE. We have one more to go.
- Monitor implementation of the Compelled Speech Policy and specific, concrete questions as they arise in consultation with the Division of Legal Affairs.

V. Conclusion

The committee continues to support the UNC System Office's and the constituent institutions' work and efforts in promoting and protecting free speech and free expression, increasing awareness and understanding of the broad protections for speech and expressive activities on campus, and taking action, when needed, to prevent substantial disruption or interference in scheduled events.

The annual obligations that produce this report effect a culture of periodic "well visits" checking into the health of free expression on our campuses. This report confirms that the transparency and accountability required by the originating legislation are having their desired effect. While the content of this report remains consistent generally year over year, the report serves as an annual "well visit" for the state of discourse at the University. The reporting and survey responses document that the importance of free expression is at the fore of our responding campuses' minds and creating neutral forums in which diverse thought is fostered has become a habit at our campuses and within the committee itself.

Accepted by the UNC Board of Governors Committee on University Governance, the designated Board Committee on Free Expression on September 13, 2023.