#### The University of North Carolina Performance Model Update



Committee on Budget and Finance & Committee on Educational Planning, Policies, and Programs August 9, 2012

## **Outline of Presentation**

- Enhanced Emphasis on Performance (transition from enrollment only funding model to a more comprehensive model)
- Current Performance on Key Academic Success Measures
- Actions Going Forward



# Enhanced Emphasis on Performance

- Board of Governors and President have placed greater emphasis on student success and efficiency.
- UNC is transitioning from a funding model focused only on enrollment change to a model that also incorporates performance.
- Goal is to directly incentivize and reward improved performance on key measures in addition to and independent of changes in enrollment.



# Enhanced Emphasis on Performance

- Model will be based on ten measures that focus on student success and academic and operational efficiency.
  - Five core measures that apply to all campuses
    - Retention rates
    - 6-year graduation rates
    - Degree efficiency
    - Degrees awarded to Pell grant recipients
    - Composite index of UNC FIT
  - Five campus-specific measures that are tied to campus missions



### Freshman-to-Sophomore Retention

"Freshman-to-Sophomore Retention" measures the percent of the first-time full-time freshmen at each campus who were enrolled at the same campus the following fall.

Retention (Freshman-to-Sophomore)	Original Institution	Any UNC Institution	Public Peers Average *
Fall 2006 Cohort	81.1%	84.0%	76.9%
Fall 2007 Cohort	81.3%	84.2%	76.9%
Fall 2008 Cohort	82.7%	85.6%	77.6%
Fall 2009 Cohort	82.2%	85.1%	77.6%
Fall 2010 Cohort	82.1%	84.7%	**

\*UNC's 2011 public peers are included in the comparison. \*\*Data not available



#### Six-Year Graduation Rates

The six-year graduation rate has become the *de facto* measure for successful graduation, since many students take semesters off or change to part-time during some period of their enrollment.

Six-Year Graduation Rate	Original Institution	Any UNC Institution	Public Peers Average *
Fall 2001 Cohort	58.7%	63.4%	52.2%
Fall 2002 Cohort	58.8%	63.5%	52.6%
Fall 2003 Cohort	58.8%	63.4%	52.9%
Fall 2004 Cohort	59.0%	63.5%	53.3%
Fall 2005 Cohort	59.4%	64.0%	**

\*UNC's 2011 public peers are included in the comparison.



\*\*Data not available

## Degree Efficiency

- Bachelor's degrees per 100 full-time equivalent (FTE) undergraduates" is an efficiency measure that looks at degree production in a given academic year relative to the average undergraduate FTE.
- Unlike the six-year graduation measure, which includes only fall first-time full-time freshmen, this efficiency measure captures the graduation outcomes of undergraduate students regardless of how or when they entered during an academic year.



## Degree Efficiency

Degrees per 100 Undergraduates				
	UNC System	Public Peers		
Year	Average	Average		
2005-06	19.83	20.50		
2006-07	19.94	20.28		
2007-08	20.51	20.28		
2008-09	20.50	20.45		
2009-10	20.91	20.61		



## **Actions Going Forward**

- Update of model and performance measures at the October BOG meeting
- Final approval of performance-based funding model in November
- Continue to evaluate measures and goals through 2012-13



#### **BOG** Discussion

#### **Questions?**



